



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

ject to the lien of a real-estate mortgage of date prior to the purchase of the machinery; and it is held that the mortgagee has a right of action to foreclose his chattel mortgage.

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE—DELAY OF PROSECUTION.—Statutory provisions permitting one accused of felony, who has been released from custody because not brought to trial within sixty days as required by the statute, to be rearrested for the same offence, are held, in *Re Begerow* (Cal.), 56 L. R. A. 528, not to violate constitutional provisions guaranteeing accused persons the right to a speedy and public trial.

With these cases is a note reviewing the authorities on delay of prosecution as ground for the discharge of the accused.

ALIMONY—NATURE OF—ASSIGNMENT.—A wife's claim for an allowance of permanent alimony, on a divorce *a vinculo*, is a purely personal right, and not a property right. Alimony to be allowed and paid *in futuro* is intended for the personal benefit and support of the wife, and in its nature is not susceptible of assignment by the wife to another, nor capable of being enjoyed by her in anticipation. *Lynde v. Lynde* (N. J.), 52 Atl. 694. The opinion gives a *résumé* of the recent English cases, and asserts that they fully sustain the ruling.

CORPORATIONS—SALARIES—DEALINGS BY DIRECTORS WITH THEMSELVES.—Where directors of a corporation attempt to deal with themselves, their acts are the subject of judicial inquiry and supervision. Directors cannot fix the value of their own services to the corporation. Whenever they attempt to do so, and their action is challenged by a stockholder or other interested person, the burden is upon them to show what they have done to merit payment; and the quantity of compensation to which they are entitled is to be graded, not by the sum voted, but by what they earn. *Davis v. Davis* (Court of Chancery of N. J.), 52 Atl. 717. Citing *Gardner v. Butler*, 30 N. J. Eq. 702-725; *Fougeray v. Cord*, 50 N. J. Eq. 185, 24 Atl. 499.

CRIMINAL LAW—DYING DECLARATIONS.—Dying declarations of a woman whom defendant is charged with killing by means of an abortion are held, in *Worthington v. State* (Md.), 56 L. R. A. 353, to be admissible in evidence where they were accompanied by constant affirmation of expectancy of death, and begging the doctor to save her, as she was dying, although he held out hope of recovery.

But an instrument prepared by an injured person in full possession of his mental faculties and in the confident hope of recovery, to be signed as a dying declaration in the event of subsequent conviction of fatal termination of the injury, is held, in *Harper v. State* (Miss.), 56 L. R. A. 372, not to be admissible in evidence as a dying declaration, although executed under conviction of death.

An extensive note to these cases reviews all the other authorities on dying declarations as evidence.